

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

CHRISTINA FREEMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil Action 2:14-cv-285

Judge Smith

Magistrate Judge King

TEKCOLLECT, INC.,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff was ordered to report on the status of this case by May 2, 2014 in light of defendant's failure to respond to the *Complaint* by April 17, 2014. *Order*, ECF 4. Plaintiff has failed to respond to that order and it appears that plaintiff has abandoned the prosecution of this case.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the action be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to prosecute.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this *Report and Recommendation*, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the *Report and Recommendation*, specifically designating this *Report and Recommendation*, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections

must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the *Report and Recommendation* will result in a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the *Report and Recommendation*. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers, Local 231 etc.*, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

May 5, 2014

s/Norah McCann King  
Norah M<sup>c</sup>Cann King  
United States Magistrate Judge